

welcome to the LennyAndMariaPodcasts.com series

The Great Financial Decoupling

For seven decades, the bond between Europe and the United States was the bedrock of the global order, forged through the Cold War and the war on terror. This alliance was cemented not just by shared values, but by a **financial architecture** where the U.S. dollar, SWIFT, and American correspondent banking served as the world's primary infrastructure. European corporations were deeply integrated into this American-led system, requiring dollars for global trade and access to Federal Reserve clearing.

However, a quiet but seismic shift occurred between 2024 and 2025 that ended 70 years of European financial dependence. By the conclusion of 2025, approximately **28% of trade between Europe and China** had shifted to settle in yuan rather than dollars. This represents over **207 billion euros** in annual commerce flowing through Chinese payment systems, involving industrial giants like Volkswagen, Siemens, and Airbus. This transition was not driven by anti-American sentiment or ideological shifts, but by the emergence of a Chinese credit system that simply functions more efficiently than the traditional dollar-based model.

CIPS vs. SWIFT: A Technological Divide

The heart of this transition lies in China's **Cross-border Interbank Payment System (CIPS)**, which launched in 2015. While many Western observers initially dismissed it as a mere copy of SWIFT, CIPS represents a fundamental technological upgrade.

- **SWIFT's Limitations:** SWIFT utilizes 1970s-era messaging infrastructure that does not actually move money; instead, it sends instructions between a chain of correspondent banks. A single payment can pass through four to six intermediaries, each taking a fee and delaying settlement for 48 to 72 hours.
- **The CIPS Advantage:** CIPS offers **direct, real-time settlement**. A German bank can send yuan directly to a Chinese bank in a single transaction with same-day settlement. By removing intermediaries, CIPS reduces transaction costs from the typical 3-5% seen in the dollar system to under 1%.

Furthermore, CIPS integrates **digital yuan and smart contracts**, allowing for automated payments. For example, a contract can be programmed to release funds instantly only when customs systems confirm a shipment has arrived at a specific port, removing the need for bank processing or manual trust. By 2025, this system was processing over 400,000 daily transactions across 100 countries.

The Business Case: A Transformative Shift

The shift to Chinese infrastructure is driven by the undeniable logic of corporate spreadsheets. Consider the case of "Auto Tech," a medium-sized German manufacturer. Under the old dollar-settlement system, the company faced brutal costs:

- **Currency Conversion:** Two conversions (Yuan to Dollars, then Dollars to Euros) cost 3.5% of transaction value.
- **Working Capital:** A 3-day settlement delay forced the company to borrow €80 million in working capital just to cover the gap between shipping and payment.
- **Total Costs:** Between fees, interest, and hedging, the company lost nearly **4% of its China revenue**—roughly €47.6 million annually.

By switching to **direct yuan settlement** through CIPS via the European Central Bank's swap line, Auto Tech reduced its transaction costs to 0.9%. Same-day settlement slashed their borrowing needs, and the total annual savings reached **€36 million**. For a company with 6% margins, this was the difference between struggle and competitive advantage. Once these savings were realized, nearly every major German automotive supplier followed suit by mid-2025.

The End of Financial Coercion

This economic reality led to a direct geopolitical confrontation when the U.S. Treasury attempted to discourage European banks from using CIPS by threatening increased scrutiny of their U.S. operations. The response from Europe was unprecedented. The **European Central Bank (ECB)** declared that payment system choices are "commercial decisions based on efficiency and cost," not geopolitical tools. Germany's Finance Minister went further, stating that the **"era of financial coercion is over"**.

For 70 years, the U.S. used its control over dollar clearing to enforce foreign policy and sanction rivals. Europe's decision to prioritize commercial efficiency signaled that they would no longer accept financial infrastructure as a weapon. Because excluding European banks from U.S. markets would trigger a global crisis, Washington's threats proved hollow.

The Global Cascade and the Future of the Dollar

Europe's pivot broke a psychological barrier for the rest of the world. Following the European precedent, several regions accelerated their own adoption of yuan settlement:

- **South America:** Brazil and Argentina announced yuan settlement for bilateral trade in July 2025.
- **Southeast Asia:** ASEAN nations established CIPS connectivity across Singapore, Malaysia, and the Philippines.
- **Africa:** The African Union began discussing yuan-backed regional payments.

If 35% of China's global trade shifts to the yuan over five years, approximately **\$2.3 trillion** in commerce will exit the dollar infrastructure annually. This loss of universality has dire consequences for the American economy. For decades, high global demand for the dollar kept it strong, allowing for cheap imports and low interest rates despite a **\$38 trillion national debt**.

As demand for the dollar falls, the "virtuous circle" reverses: the dollar weakens, inflation rises, and the Federal Reserve is forced to raise rates. Every 1 percentage point increase in borrowing costs adds billions to U.S. interest payments; a two to three-point rise could result in **\$1 trillion in additional annual interest costs**, potentially breaking the federal budget.

Summary of the New Multipolar Reality

By 2030, the financial world is projected to be **multipolar**. The dollar's share of global reserves may fall to 45%, while the yuan rises to 20%. In this new era, half of all global trade may settle in non-dollar currencies. Europe chose this path not to spite the United States, but because the alternative was economic suicide in the face of more efficient Chinese infrastructure. The 70-year era of U.S. financial dominance did not end through war, but through the rational calculations of corporate officers and central bankers choosing efficiency over loyalty.

thank you for listening to another session of the LennyAndMariaPodcasts.com series produced and archived at the website LennyAndMariaPodcasts.com

welcome to the LennyAndMariaPodcasts.com series

The Foundations of an Alliance

Europe has been America's closest ally for 70 years. 70 years. Through the Cold War, through the war on terror, through every crisis, every conflict, every moment when Washington needed support, Europe stood with America. That relationship was built on something deeper than shared values or military alliances. It was built on **financial infrastructure**: the dollar, SWIFT, and correspondent banking.

The entire architecture of international payments ran through American systems. European companies needed dollars to trade globally, and European banks needed access to Federal Reserve clearing. Europe was integrated into American financial dominance. And then something happened in 2024 and 2025 that broke that integration. Something quiet, something that didn't make front-page news, but something that ended 70 years of European dependence on American financial systems. By the end of 2025, roughly **28% of Europe-China trade** settled in yuan instead of dollars.

A Massive Economic Shift

That 28% represents **207 billion euros** in annual commerce flowing through Chinese payment systems instead of American ones. Volkswagen, Siemens, BASF, Airbus, LVMH—hundreds of major European corporations now settle billions in trade with China using yuan clearing, bypassing dollars entirely. Think about that for a moment.

So, here's the question that should terrify anyone who understands how American power actually works: How did China convince Europe, America's most loyal ally, to abandon dollar systems that have defined the global economy since World War II? And more urgently, what happens to American dominance when even your closest friends choose Chinese infrastructure over American systems? I need to warn you about something: This isn't about Europe becoming anti-American. This isn't about geopolitical realignment or ideological shifts. This is about something far more dangerous to US power.

The Architecture of Modern Credit

China built a credit system that simply **works better** than the dollar system for anyone trading with China. And when money is on the line, when efficiency means survival, loyalty becomes optional. Let me tell you what China actually built, because the architecture reveals why this system is swallowing dollar dominance piece by piece.

China's **Cross-border Interbank Payment System (CIPS)** launched in 2015. Most Western observers dismissed it as "another Chinese copy of SWIFT" and irrelevant. The dollar system was too entrenched, too essential. But here's what those observers missed: China wasn't copying SWIFT. They were building something better. SWIFT is old; its messaging infrastructure is from the 1970s. It doesn't move money; it sends instructions between banks that then settle through correspondent banking relationships. A payment from Germany to China goes like this: a German bank sends a SWIFT message to a correspondent bank in New York, and that correspondent bank converts euros to dollars.

Efficiency and Cost Savings

That bank then sends dollars to another correspondent bank that has a relationship with Chinese banks, which finally converts dollars to yuan and credits the Chinese recipient. This involves four, five, sometimes six intermediary banks. Each takes a fee. Settlement takes 48 to 72 hours, and currency conversion happens twice.

CIPS is different. It offers direct settlement in real-time. A German bank connected to CIPS sends yuan directly to a Chinese bank in one transaction with **same-day settlement** and no intermediaries. If the German bank doesn't have yuan, they access it through the currency swap line between the European Central Bank and the People's Bank of China. Euro-to-yuan conversion happens once at official rates with minimal fees. The cost difference is staggering. A cross-border payment through correspondent banking costs 3% to 5% in fees and spreads; through CIPS, it is under

1%. For a company moving €100 million annually, that's **€3 million in savings** just from using different infrastructure. But cost is just the beginning.

Smart Contracts and Automation

Here's what makes CIPS truly revolutionary: it integrates with **digital yuan and smart contracts**. Imagine you're a French company buying components from China. In the old system, you negotiate terms, place the order, the supplier ships, you receive shipment confirmation, you authorize payment, and your bank processes it. Seventy-two hours later, the supplier receives funds. If there's any dispute about delivery, payment has to be held manually; it is complex, slow, and requires trust.

In the new system with digital yuan smart contracts, you place the order with payment locked in a smart contract. You release payment when shipping documents confirm delivery to a specified port. The supplier ships, automated customs systems confirm arrival, and the smart contract executes automatically. The supplier receives payment instantly. There is **no bank processing**, no delay, and no trust required because the contract enforces terms automatically. This isn't incremental improvement.

A Global Infrastructure Powerhouse

This is payment infrastructure from the future competing against infrastructure from the 1970s. And by 2025, CIPS processes over **400,000 transactions daily**. More than 1,400 financial institutions in 100-plus countries are connected. Transaction volume exceeds **20 trillion dollars annually**. It's not experimental anymore; it's infrastructure that European companies depend on.

But here's where it gets truly dangerous for American power—the mechanism that makes dollar bypass inevitable. China linked CIPS to currency swap lines with 40 countries. The European Central Bank has a **45 billion euro swap line** with the People's Bank of China, established in 2013 and renewed in 2023. This means European banks can access yuan liquidity directly from their own central bank without needing to buy yuan with dollars first. Think about what this creates: a European company can trade with China entirely in euros and yuan. No dollars involved.

The Case Study of Auto Tech

Euro-to-yuan conversion happens through official central bank channels. Payment flows through CIPS. Settlement is same-day. Costs are minimal. The dollar becomes optional—not banned, not rejected, just unnecessary. And once the dollar is unnecessary, basic economics takes over.

Let me tell you a story about how this played out for a real company, because the details reveal why this system is irresistible. There's a German automotive parts manufacturer—call them **Auto Tech**. They're medium-sized, about €3 billion in annual revenue. They supply components to Chinese electric vehicle manufacturers. By 2024, China represented 40% of their business, roughly €1.2 billion annually. In 2024, Auto Tech used dollar settlement for Chinese transactions. Their process worked like this: the Chinese customer places an order, Auto Tech ships components, the customer's bank converts yuan to dollars, and then sends dollars through SWIFT and correspondent banking. Auto Tech receives dollars three days later.

Hidden Costs and Risks

Then, they convert dollars to euros and finally receive payment. The costs were brutal. Currency conversion happened twice: yuan to dollars at a 1.5% spread, and dollars to euros at a 1.2% spread. Correspondent banking fees were 0.8%. Total costs were roughly **3.5% of transaction value** on €1.2 billion. That's €42 million annually in transaction costs.

The delays were worse. Three-day settlement meant Auto Tech needed massive working capital reserves to cover the gap between shipment and payment. They borrowed €80 million in working capital at 4.5% interest, which cost **€3.6 million annually** in interest just to cover payment delays. And the currency risk was constant. Between the time a Chinese customer sent payment and the time Auto Tech received euros, exchange rates could move.

The Pivot to Yuan

They paid for hedging—another €2 million annually. Total costs of dollar settlement were approximately **€47.6 million per year**. That's nearly 4% of their China revenue gone just in transaction costs. In November 2024, their largest Chinese customer made an offer: switch to yuan settlement through CIPS for direct payment and same-day settlement.

Auto Tech consulted Deutsche Bank. Deutsche had established yuan clearing capability through the European Central Bank swap line. They could receive yuan and convert it to euros immediately at official rates. The new process: the Chinese customer sends yuan through CIPS; Deutsche Bank receives yuan same-day and converts to euros at a 0.6% spread. This credits Auto Tech's account with **one currency conversion** instead of two. It means same-day settlement instead of three days, no correspondent banking fees, and no currency hedging needed because conversion is immediate.

Transformative Savings

New costs: 0.6% conversion spread plus 0.3% CIPS fees, totaling 0.9% on €1.2 billion. That's **€10.8 million**, down from €42 million in pure transaction costs. Working capital requirements dropped because settlement is same-day. They reduced borrowing from €80 million to €20 million. Interest costs dropped from €3.6 million to €900,000. Currency hedging became unnecessary; €2 million in hedging costs disappeared.

The new total costs were **€11.7 million**, down from €47.6 million. Savings: **€35.9 million annually**. €36 million just from changing payment infrastructure. For a company operating on 6% margins, that's transformative. That's the difference between profitability and struggle. That's a competitive advantage. Auto Tech switched to yuan settlement in January 2025. By June, every major German automotive supplier had done the same. The business case was undeniable.

A Systemic Break

Now multiply this across European industry: French aerospace, Italian machinery, Spanish agriculture, British pharmaceuticals, Dutch chemicals. Every sector doing significant China business reached the same conclusion: **Yuan settlement saves money**. Therefore, yuan settlement is what shareholders demand. And European banks, facing competitive pressure, must provide it or lose clients.

This is how the dollar system dies—not through political decisions, but through 10,000 corporate procurement officers running spreadsheets and realizing yuan clearing saves millions. But here's where it becomes a systemic break rather than isolated choices. Here's where Europe formally chose Chinese infrastructure over American protests. In April 2025, the US Treasury issued informal guidance to European banks. The message: extensive use of CIPS could complicate sanctions compliance. European banks operating primarily through Chinese payment systems might face scrutiny of their US operations.

The End of Financial Coercion

It was a threat, thinly veiled: Use Chinese systems and we will make your American business difficult. The European Central Bank responded within a week with a formal statement: European banks operating within European law and complying with European sanctions policy face no restrictions from European regulators. Then this sentence:

"Payment system choices are commercial decisions based on efficiency and cost, not geopolitical considerations."

Read that again: *Not geopolitical considerations*. That's the European Central Bank telling America, "We don't accept that financial infrastructure is a tool of your foreign policy." Germany's finance minister went further in a speech in Frankfurt. He said, "Our companies will use the payment systems that best serve their interests. **The era of financial coercion is over.** The era of financial coercion is over." Think about what that means.

The Dollar as a Weapon

For 70 years, America used control of dollar clearing to enforce foreign policy: sanction Iran, block dollar transactions, punish Russia, freeze dollar assets, pressure Venezuela, cut off SWIFT access. Financial infrastructure was America's most powerful weapon. Europe just announced they're done accepting that. They built alternatives. They'll use those alternatives.

And American threats are empty because excluding European banks from US markets would trigger a **transatlantic financial crisis**. Washington had no response. The threat was hollow and everyone knew it. Europe called America's bluff and the bluff failed. This is the moment 70 years of financial dominance ended. Not with war, not with formal alliance breaks, but with a bureaucratic statement from the ECB that financial infrastructure serves commerce, not geopolitics. Now, let me show you the cascade this created because Europe's choice gave permission to the rest of the world.

A Global Cascade

In July 2025, Brazil and Argentina announced yuan settlement for bilateral trade. They cited the European precedent explicitly: If Germany uses yuan clearing, why can't South America? In August, ASEAN expanded yuan settlement across Southeast Asia. Singapore, Malaysia, Thailand, Indonesia, and the Philippines all established CIPS connectivity, again citing European adoption as evidence that yuan settlement is mainstream.

In September, the African Union discussed yuan-backed regional payments. The proposal referenced both European companies and Chinese infrastructure investment. The psychological barrier broke. If America's closest allies use Chinese payment systems, it can't be that dangerous. It can't be disloyal. It's just efficient business. And this is how empires end—not through military defeat, but through accumulated **rational business decisions** that collectively shift the system's foundation. Let me tell you what the numbers look like and where they're heading.

The Shrinking Dollar Footprint

Yuan settlement in Europe-China trade hit **28% in Q3 2025**, up from 12% in early 2023. On €740 billion in annual trade, that's €207 billion outside dollar settlement. But European banks project 40% by the end of 2026, then 50% by 2028. Eventually, why would Europe-China trade use dollars? The currency of neither trading partner once yuan infrastructure works—dollars are just unnecessary friction.

If yuan becomes standard for Europe-China trade, that's **€370 billion annually** outside dollar systems. Add China's trade with ASEAN (30% moving to yuan), Latin America (25%), the Middle East (20%), and Africa (15%). Globally, China conducts \$6.6 trillion in annual trade. If 35% moves to yuan settlement over the next five years, that's **\$2.3 trillion in commerce** exiting dollar infrastructure annually. The dollar doesn't collapse from this, but it loses universality. It becomes one currency among several, and that changes everything about American power.

The Reverse Virtuous Circle

America benefits enormously from everyone needing dollars. Foreign demand keeps the currency strong. A strong dollar makes imports cheap. Cheap imports allow massive consumption without inflation. Low inflation lets the Fed

keep rates low. Low rates make federal borrowing cheap despite **\$38 trillion in debt**. It's a virtuous circle that has enabled American prosperity for 70 years.

But if foreign dollar demand falls 30% because yuan handles a third of global trade, the circle reverses. The dollar weakens. Imports become expensive. Inflation rises. The Fed must raise rates. Government borrowing costs surge. Every 1 percentage point increase in average borrowing costs adds **\$380 billion annually** to interest payments. If rates rise two to three points from reduced dollar demand, that's \$760 billion to over \$1 trillion in additional annual interest costs. The budget breaks. America faces impossible choices: slash spending drastically or print money and accept inflation.

Choosing Economic Survival

Either choice ends the era of prosperity built on financial dominance. This is what Europe's break set in motion. Not immediate crisis, but the unraveling of the architecture that made American dominance possible. And here's why Europe did it, despite understanding the consequences. European leaders aren't anti-American, but they faced impossible economics.

Their companies compete globally. China is their largest external market. Chinese competitors are outpacing Europeans in electric vehicles, batteries, solar, and high-speed rail. European companies told governments, "Give us tools to compete or we relocate to China where infrastructure is efficient." Volkswagen can build in Germany and pay 4% transaction costs, or build in China and pay 0.5%. Economics forces production to China unless European infrastructure matches Chinese infrastructure. Europe chose: maintain dollar loyalty and watch industry relocate to Asia, or provide alternatives and keep production European.

A Multipolar Future

They chose economic survival. America offered no better option. Washington's message was: maintain the dollar system, accept the costs. Loyalty demands sacrifice. But Europe looked at American policy—erratic and self-interested—and asked: sacrifice for what? An ally that questions NATO, threatens tariffs, and treats partners casually?

The calculation became simple. China offers infrastructure that works. America offers demands for loyalty without reliability. Europe chose the infrastructure. This is how alliances fray: through accumulated resentments and rational calculations. Europe broke free because staying loyal meant economic suicide. Here's the world in 2030 if this continues: the dollar at **45% of global reserves**, down from 58% today. The yuan at 20%. Half of global trade settles in non-dollar currencies: yuan 30%, euro 15%, dollar 40% (down from 70% a decade earlier). America retains military power, but financial dominance is gone. A **multipolar currency system**.

The Final Spreadsheet

In this world, American preferences are one voice among several. This is the world China's credit system created and Europe's break enabled. Seventy years of US financial power ended not with war or revolution, but with **corporate spreadsheets** showing yuan clearing saves money. And once money talks, loyalty becomes silent.

thank you for listening to another session of the LennyAndMariaPodcasts.com series produced and archived at the website LennyAndMariaPodcasts.com

welcome to the LennyAndMariaPodcasts.com series

THE END OF FINANCIAL DEPENDENCE

For seventy years, Europe served as the closest ally to the United States, standing together through the Cold War, the war on terror, and numerous global crises. This relationship was anchored by a shared financial infrastructure that included the U.S. dollar, SWIFT, and correspondent banking. The entire global architecture for international payments was routed through American systems, meaning European companies required dollars for global trade and European banks relied on Federal Reserve clearing. However, a significant shift occurred between 2024 and 2025 that broke this long-standing integration. By the end of 2025, approximately 28 percent of trade between Europe and China was settled in yuan rather than dollars.

This 28 percent shift represents 207 billion euros in annual commerce now flowing through Chinese payment systems instead of American ones. Major European corporations, including Volkswagen, Siemens, BASF, Airbus, and LVMH, now settle billions in trade with China using yuan clearing, effectively bypassing the dollar. This transition is not a result of anti-American sentiment or ideological shifts, but rather a response to something far more impactful on global power: the superior efficiency of a new credit system.

CHINA'S TECHNOLOGICAL ADVANCEMENT IN PAYMENTS

China developed a credit system that functions more effectively than the dollar-based system for those trading with China. When economic survival depends on efficiency, traditional loyalties often become optional. The Cross-border Interbank Payment System, or CIPS, was launched in 2015. While many Western observers initially dismissed it as an irrelevant copy of SWIFT, they failed to realize that China was building a more modern infrastructure. The SWIFT system is based on 1970s messaging technology and does not actually move money; it merely sends instructions between banks through correspondent relationships. A typical payment from Germany to China via SWIFT requires a German bank to send a message to a correspondent bank in New York to convert euros to dollars.

This legacy process often involves four to six intermediary banks, each taking a fee. The settlement can take between 48 and 72 hours, and currency conversion must happen twice. CIPS offers a fundamentally different approach by providing direct, real-time settlement. A German bank connected to CIPS can send yuan directly to a Chinese bank in one transaction with same-day settlement and no intermediaries. If the bank lacks yuan, it can access liquidity through the currency swap line between the European Central Bank and the People's Bank of China. This allows conversion to happen once at official rates with minimal fees. While traditional correspondent banking costs 3 to 5 percent in fees and spreads, CIPS costs under 1 percent. For a company moving 100 million euros annually, this provides 3 million euros in savings through infrastructure alone.

INNOVATION THROUGH SMART CONTRACTS

The CIPS framework is considered revolutionary because it integrates with the digital yuan and smart contracts. In the old system, purchasing components required a manual process of negotiation, shipping, confirmation, and bank authorization, with funds arriving 72 hours later. Any delivery dispute required manual intervention and high levels of trust. The new system allows a French company to place an order with payment locked in a smart contract. The contract automatically releases the payment once shipping documents confirm delivery to a specific port. Automated customs systems confirm arrival, and the supplier receives payment instantly without bank processing delays.

This represents a jump from 1970s technology to futuristic payment infrastructure. By 2025, CIPS was processing over 400,000 daily transactions with more than 1,400 financial institutions across 100 countries. The annual transaction volume exceeded 20 trillion dollars. To facilitate this, China linked CIPS to currency swap lines with 40 countries, including a 45 billion euro swap line with the European Central Bank. This allows European banks to access yuan liquidity directly from their own central bank, bypassing the need to buy yuan with dollars first. This allows European companies to trade with China using only euros and yuan.

THE CASE OF AUTO TECH: A FINANCIAL TRANSFORMATION

The practical benefits of this system are best illustrated by the German manufacturer Auto Tech, which generates 1.2 billion euros in annual revenue from China. In 2024, the company used the dollar settlement system, which involved

a Chinese customer's bank converting yuan to dollars and sending them through SWIFT. Auto Tech would receive the dollars three days later and convert them into euros. The costs were substantial: a 1.5 percent spread for yuan-to-dollar conversion, a 1.2 percent spread for dollar-to-euro conversion, and 0.8 percent in correspondent banking fees. Total transaction costs reached 42 million euros annually.

Furthermore, the three-day settlement delay forced the company to maintain 80 million euros in working capital, costing 3.6 million euros in annual interest. Including currency hedging costs of 2 million euros, the total annual expense for dollar settlement was 47.6 million euros, or nearly 4 percent of their China revenue. In late 2024, the company switched to yuan settlement through CIPS via Deutsche Bank. The new process involved a single currency conversion at a 0.6 percent spread and same-day settlement.

THE IMPACT OF SETTLEMENT REFORM

Under the new yuan-based system, Auto Tech's costs were drastically reduced:

COST CATEGORY: OLD SYSTEM (DOLLAR) vs. NEW SYSTEM (YUAN) Transaction Fees: 42 Million Euros vs. 10.8 Million Euros Working Capital Borrowing: 80 Million Euros vs. 20 Million Euros Interest on Borrowing: 3.6 Million Euros vs. 0.9 Million Euros Hedging Costs: 2 Million Euros vs. 0 Million Euros Total Annual Cost: 47.6 Million Euros vs. 11.7 Million Euros

This shift resulted in annual savings of 35.9 million euros. For a company with 6 percent profit margins, this was a transformative competitive advantage. Following Auto Tech's lead in January 2025, every major German automotive supplier adopted yuan settlement by June 2025.

EUROPE'S POLITICAL BREAK FROM WASHINGTON

The transition to yuan settlement expanded across all European sectors, including aerospace, machinery, agriculture, and chemicals. Corporate procurement officers prioritized these savings, compelling European banks to provide yuan clearing services or risk losing clients. In April 2025, the U.S. Treasury attempted to intervene by issuing guidance suggesting that CIPS usage could complicate sanctions compliance and lead to scrutiny of European banks' U.S. operations. This was perceived as a thinly veiled threat to make American business difficult for those using Chinese systems.

The European Central Bank responded by stating that European banks would face no restrictions as long as they complied with European laws. Crucially, the ECB declared that payment system choices are commercial decisions based on efficiency and cost, not geopolitical considerations. This statement directly challenged the idea of financial infrastructure as a tool for American foreign policy. Germany's finance minister added that the era of financial coercion was over, signaling that Europe would use the systems that best served its interests. This marked the end of an era where America could easily use dollar clearing to enforce sanctions or block transactions.

THE GLOBAL CASCADE AND U.S. DOMESTIC CONSEQUENCES

Europe's choice provided a precedent for the rest of the world. By July 2025, Brazil and Argentina announced yuan settlement for bilateral trade, and ASEAN nations expanded their CIPS connectivity shortly after. The African Union also began discussing yuan-backed regional payments. The move was seen as efficient business rather than disloyalty. As more trade moves to the yuan, the dollar loses its universality. If 35 percent of China's 6.6 trillion dollars in annual trade shifts to yuan, 2.3 trillion dollars in commerce will exit the dollar infrastructure annually.

This shift threatens the virtuous circle that has supported American prosperity for seven decades. High global demand for dollars has traditionally kept the currency strong, imports cheap, and inflation low, which allowed the Federal Reserve to keep interest rates down and federal borrowing inexpensive. If dollar demand falls significantly, the dollar will weaken and inflation will rise. The Federal Reserve would then be forced to raise interest rates,

causing government borrowing costs to surge. A two to three-point rise in interest rates could result in over 1 trillion dollars in additional annual interest costs on the 38 trillion dollar national debt.

A MULTIPOLAR FINANCIAL FUTURE

Europe was forced into this transition by the need to remain competitive against Chinese manufacturers who were already utilizing more efficient infrastructure. European leaders chose to provide these alternatives to their companies rather than watching their industries relocate to Asia. They found that while China offered working infrastructure, the U.S. offered demands for loyalty without reliability or efficiency. By 2030, the global economy is projected to reach a multipolar currency system where the dollar accounts for 45 percent of global reserves and 40 percent of trade settlement. In this world, the U.S. will retain military power, but its financial dominance will be replaced by a system where American preferences are just one voice among several. The era of singular financial power is ending because corporate spreadsheets have proven that the new system simply saves money.

thank you for listening to another session of the LennyAndMariaPodcasts.com series produced and archived at the website LennyAndMariaPodcasts.com

welcome to the LennyAndMariaPodcasts.com series

THE ARCHITECTURAL SHIFT

1. Ending 70 Years of Financial Dependence Europe has historically stood with America through every crisis, relying on a relationship built on dollar-based infrastructure. This long-standing integration broke in 2024 and 2025 as Europe moved toward financial independence.
2. The Magnitude of the Europe-China Trade Shift By the end of 2025, approximately 28 percent of trade between Europe and China was settled in yuan. This represents 207 billion euros in annual commerce flowing through Chinese payment systems instead of American ones.
3. Corporate Adoption of Yuan Clearing Major European corporations such as Volkswagen, Siemens, and Airbus are now bypassing the dollar entirely. These giants settle billions in trade using Chinese infrastructure to maintain their global operations.
4. Efficiency Over Loyalty The move away from the dollar is driven by a credit system that simply works better for those trading with China. When economic survival is at stake, corporate loyalty to traditional systems becomes optional.
5. The Obsolescence of SWIFT Western observers mistakenly dismissed China's payment system as a mere copy of SWIFT. In reality, SWIFT is based on aging 1970s messaging technology that does not actually move money directly.
6. The Complexity of Correspondent Banking Traditional dollar payments require a chain of four to six intermediary banks, each taking a fee. This process is slow, often taking 48 to 72 hours to settle a single transaction.

TECHNOLOGICAL SUPERIORITY OF CIPS

7. Real-Time Settlement with CIPS The Cross-border Interbank Payment System (CIPS) offers direct, real-time settlement for international trade. A German bank can send yuan directly to a Chinese bank in one transaction without intermediaries.

8. **Drastic Cost Reductions** Cross-border payments through correspondent banking cost between 3 and 5 percent in fees. Using CIPS reduces these costs to under 1 percent, offering staggering savings for large corporations.
9. **Direct Access to Yuan Liquidity** European banks can access yuan liquidity directly through the European Central Bank's 45 billion euro swap line. This allows banks to bypass the need to buy yuan with dollars, making the dollar unnecessary for China trade.
10. **Integration of Smart Contracts** CIPS is revolutionary because it integrates with digital yuan and smart contracts to automate payments. This allows funds to be locked and released automatically based on verified shipping data.
11. **Removal of Transaction Delays** Unlike the old system which takes 72 hours for funds to arrive, smart contracts allow for instant payment. This eliminates the need for manual bank processing and reduces the time capital is tied up.
12. **Elimination of Counterparty Trust** The new system uses automated customs confirmations to execute payments, removing the need for manual trust between parties. The contract enforces all terms automatically, ensuring both delivery and payment are secure.

CORPORATE AND ECONOMIC REALITIES

13. **Scalability of Chinese Infrastructure** By 2025, CIPS was processing over 400,000 transactions daily across 100 countries. The system has matured beyond an experiment into infrastructure that 1,400 financial institutions depend on.
14. **The Case of Auto Tech Savings** A medium-sized manufacturer saved 35.9 million euros annually just by changing its payment infrastructure. These massive savings represent the difference between profitability and struggle for industrial firms.
15. **Reduction of Working Capital Needs** Same-day settlement allows companies to drastically reduce the working capital they must borrow to cover payment gaps. For one firm, borrowing requirements dropped from 80 million euros to just 20 million.
16. **Elimination of Currency Hedging Costs** Direct yuan-to-euro conversion through central bank channels removes the need for expensive currency hedging. This simplification protects companies from exchange rate volatility during long settlement windows.
17. **Undeniable Business Case** Once the financial benefits were proven, every major German automotive supplier followed suit by June 2025. The business case for yuan settlement became so strong it was considered undeniable by industry leaders.
18. **Shareholder Demands for Efficiency** Because yuan settlement saves millions, corporate shareholders now demand its use as a standard practice. European banks are forced to provide these services or risk losing their major clients to competitors.

THE GEOPOLITICAL BREAK

19. **Resistance to U.S. Sanctions Pressure** The U.S. Treasury attempted to use the threat of sanctions to discourage European banks from using CIPS. This was a veiled attempt to maintain control over global

financial transactions.

20. **ECB Assertion of Commercial Autonomy** The European Central Bank formally stated that payment system choices are commercial decisions, not geopolitical ones. They rejected the idea that financial infrastructure should be used as a tool for U.S. foreign policy.
21. **The End of Financial Coercion** Germany's finance minister explicitly declared that the era of financial coercion is over for European companies. Europe signaled it would no longer allow its trade to be restricted by American diplomatic goals.
22. **The Hollowing of American Threats** The U.S. could not follow through on threats to exclude European banks because doing so would trigger a transatlantic crisis. Europe effectively called Washington's bluff, proving that American financial dominance has its limits.
23. **Permission for Global Realignment** Europe's choice to use Chinese infrastructure gave other regions, like South America and ASEAN, permission to do the same. The psychological barrier to moving away from the dollar was broken by America's closest allies.
24. **Emergence of a Multipolar System** By 2030, the dollar is projected to drop to 45 percent of global reserves. The world is shifting toward a system where American preferences are just one voice among many.

THE GLOBAL CONSEQUENCES

25. **Dollar Loss of Universality** As trade shifts to the yuan, the dollar becomes just one currency among several rather than the world's sole standard. This shift changes the fundamental nature of American global power.
26. **Trillions Exiting Dollar Infrastructure** Global projections suggest that 2.3 trillion dollars in commerce will exit the dollar infrastructure annually within five years. This massive exit of capital has dire implications for U.S. economic stability.
27. **Reversal of the U.S. Virtuous Circle** The virtuous circle of high dollar demand and low inflation is beginning to reverse as demand falls. A weaker dollar leads to more expensive imports and rising domestic inflation.
28. **Surging Government Borrowing Costs** Falling demand for dollars forces the Federal Reserve to raise interest rates, increasing the cost of the national debt. Every 1 percentage point increase adds billions to the annual interest payments of the U.S. government.
29. **Economic Survival vs. Political Loyalty** European leaders chose to provide yuan-based alternatives to prevent their industries from relocating to Asia. They prioritized the economic survival of their nations over maintaining loyalty to a costly dollar system.
30. **The Power of the Spreadsheet** The 70-year era of U.S. financial dominance did not end through war, but through rational business decisions. When corporate spreadsheets showed that yuan clearing saved money, loyalty to the old system became silent.

thank you for listening to another session of the LennyAndMariaPodcasts.com series produced and archived at the website LennyAndMariaPodcasts.com